

**To:** Beck, Nancy[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Jakob, Avivah[Jakob.Avivah@epa.gov]; Wise, Louise[Wise.Louise@epa.gov]; Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy[Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov]  
**From:** Keigwin, Richard  
**Sent:** Mon 5/15/2017 3:52:12 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Pesticide items -- Phase 3  
[2017 Action Information FRL9956-99-OCSP \(DRAS Q4 16 PRD\).docx](#)  
[2017 Action Information FRL9958-19 \(DRAS Neonics Q1 17\).docx](#)  
[2017 Action Information FRL9957-98-OCSP \(DRAS Q1 17 PRD\).docx](#)

Nancy—

Attached are the requested one-pagers on the draft risk assessments pending release for public comment. Each attachment includes 1) the action information sheet that we provided the Office of Policy for each of the FR Notices and 2) a 1-page summary of each risk assessment to be released for public comment. We expect and want comments on these risk assessments so that where refinements can be made, they can be done with full transparency and with engagement with the users of these products. USDA was provided these risk assessments for their review; to date, we have not received any feedback from them nor have they identified any concerns with issuing these for public comment.

Please let us know if you have any comments.

--Rick

P.S. We are following up on your questions from yesterday evening regarding the IDs and PIDs; I will have answers for you shortly.

**From:** Beck, Nancy  
**Sent:** Friday, May 05, 2017 4:33 PM  
**To:** Keigwin, Richard <Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Jakob, Avivah <Jakob.Avivah@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov>; Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Pesticide items

Rick,

I met with Samantha today about clearing the backlog. There are a few items that are ready to go and I will read those this weekend (2 tolerance notices).

For the others that were not quite as high priority, it would help if you could send me a 1-pager for each (and I really mean 1 pager). At a minimum I would like the 1 pager to contain:

- Brief summary, including importance and statutory requirements
- Scientific issues raised and resolved
- Expected stakeholder reactions- who will be supportive, who will have concerns

If there are other important items please add them as well- hopefully we can figure out something that works well and is not too burdensome for you while I get caught up.

The items FRL #'s are:

9952-83-OCSPP

9957-98-OCSPP

9958-19-OCSPP

9955-99-OCSPP

9956-51-OCSPP

9952-21-OCSPP

9956-99-OCSPP

In addition, can you also send me a short document on how epidemiology information is used in the Chlorpyrifos document? OP is getting questions about it. 1- page would be great but I understand if it needs to be a touch longer.

Finally, on cannabis, based on a short discussion, we should likely give OP a briefing sooner rather than later. Please let me know when you are ready for that. They are very interested in this issue. Again, a 1 pager on what you are doing and why would be helpful. It might be good to do

this before you spend too much time on the evaluation.

If all/ some of this is not workable just let me know and we can figure out something more efficient.

Thanks!  
Nancy

\*\*\*\*\*

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT

Deputy Assistant Administrator

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)